Pointers for Self-evaluation of Manuscript by Author(s)

*Note: the following points are also criteria used by T-EMC reviewers in reviewing a manuscript.*

- **Relevance**
  - Is the problem addressed in your manuscript within the scope of T-EMC?
    - *T-EMC is a leading journal primarily focused on topics directly related to EMC. A paper outside its scope will be rejected immediately without going through the peer review process.*

- **Novelty**
  - Have you clearly stated the novelty of your work?
  - Have you provided sufficient evidence of the novelty?
  - Have you compared your work directly with key prior works in relevant EMC domains?
  - Have you clearly presented your technical contributions?

- **Technical Soundness**
  - Have you ensured that the methodology and approaches have no flaws?
  - Have you thoroughly verified your work by measurements, simulations or both?
  - Have you cited your previous papers related to the current work?
  - Have you adequately discussed any limitation in your measurement, simulation and methodology?

- **Presentation Quality**
  - Is your paper clear on what was done and why?
  - Are figures, tables, pictures, and references clearly presented?
    - *Please refer to the IEEE Journal template and guidelines at the IEEE Author Center (https://ieeauthorcenter.ieee.org/)*
  - Is the clarity as well as the usage of English language acceptable?
    - *You may ask a non-author and/or a native English speaker to read your manuscript and give constructive feedback.*