

# TAC meeting

3/15/2013

K. Williams (TC1)  
D. Heirman (TC2, SC1), T. Fagin (TC2)  
R. Jost (TC3)  
P. Berger, J. Kramer (TC4)  
B. Radasky (TC5, SC1)  
K. Dyberg, L. Cogen (TC6)  
J. Maas, D. Thomas, M. Olofsson (TC7)  
S. Connor, ? (TC9)  
X. Ye, B. Chen (TC10)  
M. Koledintseva (TC11)

J. Campbell, M. Steffka (SC3)  
J. Fan (SC4)

C. Brench (VP Tech Services)  
D. Beetner (ESAC)

- Review Agenda

- Review Minutes from last TAC meeting  
- some changes, Chuck captured changes

- Paper review process to date and schedule  
- Reviewed statistics for each TC, about half accepted with required changes, 12 % rejected. Some incomplete papers submitted were summarily rejected. Some discussion about role of review process with increased required changes. Maybe addition time needed: ACTION: Collin, Bruce to review the schedule for second round. Some clarification of April 30 - all final papers are due then. Special session papers (Marina) - Same schedule as regular papers. Some corrections needed to be made to Special Session for EMC 2013 slides. 19 half day workshop/tutorial sessions (confirmed by J. Maas).

- Methods to evaluate the performance of TCs (Radasky and committee): Bill Radasky's slides - TC performance scoring - Kimball Williams - submission versus accepted tutorials and workshops (BR - only accepted), TAC chair (BA) - representation on the Workshops and Tutorials Committee, so not much to worry about. Karen Dyberg (TC6) - had a spectrum update tied to G46 last year - would like some special consideration. (A special session plus joint meeting.) TC probation (R. Jost) - some concern over forcing them to attend - somehow not productive - not voting confounded with not participating. (Bruce) Special committees don't have a vote either, they would want to be able to know the

direction of the society. (Marina) comment on the scoring - special session issue in the transactions - would this be a special consideration? Plan to vote on the proposal in May. Radasky: Concern for the conference is the driver for the scoring.

- New TC probation proposal

- TC of the Year Award

- upgrade of SC to TC - some discussion of point threshold to have a vote, what number is a good one?

- Pt system, probation level, SC to TC procedure - May meeting - these will be formally moved to be accepted to be acted on in August meeting. ACTION: Bruce prepare 3 motions.

- Review of active standards (and confirm TC involvement) (Heirman) - Bruce - wants to get TC involvement in STDs. Went to

[http://www.emcs.org/standards/sdecom/Standards\\_Matrix.html](http://www.emcs.org/standards/sdecom/Standards_Matrix.html). Discussed many individual standards... There is likely to be much TAC involvement - should be represented in scoring?

- Development of paper review criteria and TC Chair Decision process (TC1) - New TC chairs - how to make a decision about acceptance/rejection - want to have some sort of documentation. An asynchronous virtual committee - will have something ready for the next meeting.

- TCS - Bruce - APL: A very close vote (4/3), was recommended to the board, board denied it. Lack of strong support. Bruce recommending 2/3 vote for affirming a positive vote. ACTION: Bruce to formulate motion . No feedback provided - Bruce to develop webpage for feedback - Frank Sabath (Global Symposium coordinator) suggested - TAC assign a TAC interface to a conference? Everyone - think back over what we have approved? Are you going?

- Old Business

- New Business

- Radasky - mandatory reviews - only three options (ambiguous response after review) - the website (final decision by TC chair) initially - not reviewed, if accepted with mandatory changes - left as "not reviewed". Some clarification from Tory. Will it get fixed? All chairs to provide list of corrections: ACTION

- Review past action items (Bunting)

- Review new action items (Bunting)

- Adjourn